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#### Abstract

In this study, we construct a new subclass of $m$-fold symmetric bi-univalent functions using by Hadamard product and generalized Salagean differential operator in the open unit disk $U=\{z \in \mathbb{C}:|z|<1\}$. We establish upper bounds for the coefficients $\left|a_{m+1}\right|$ and $\left|a_{2 m+1}\right|$ belonging to this new class. The results presented here generalize some of the earlier studies.
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## 1 Introduction

Let $A$ be the family of analytic functions, normalized by the conditions $f(0)=f^{\prime}(0)-1=0$ and having the following form

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(z)=z+a_{2} z^{2}+a_{3} z^{3}+\cdots \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

in the open unit disk $D$. We also denote by $S$ the subclass of functions in $A$ which are univalent in $U$ (see for details [4]).
According to the Koebe-One Quarter Theorem [4], it provides that the image of $U$ under every univalent function $f \in A$ contains a disk of radius $1 / 4$. Thus every univalent function $f \in A$ has an inverse $f^{-1}$ satisfying $f^{-1}(f(z))=z$ and $f\left(f^{-1}(w)\right)=w$ $\left(|w|<r_{0}(f), r_{0}(f) \geq \frac{1}{4}\right)$, where

$$
\begin{equation*}
F(w)=f^{-1}(w)=w-a_{2} w^{2}+\left(2 a_{2}^{2}-a_{3}\right) w^{3}-\left(5 a_{2}^{3}-5 a_{2} a_{3}+a_{4}\right) w^{4}+\cdots . \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

A function $f \in A$ is said to be bi-univalent in $U$ if both $f$ and $f^{-1}$ are univalent in $U$. Let $\Sigma$ denote the class of bi-univalent functions in $U$ given by (1). The detailed information about the class of $\Sigma$ was given in the references [2], [6], [7] and [10].
The Hadamard product or convolution of two functions $f(z)=z+\sum_{k=2}^{\infty} a_{k} z^{k} \in A$ and $g(z)=z+\sum_{k=2}^{\infty} b_{k} z^{k} \in A$, denoted by $f * g$, is defined by

$$
(f * g)(z)=z+\sum_{k=2}^{\infty} a_{k} b_{k} z^{k} \quad(z \in U) .
$$

For $\delta \geq 1$ and $f \in A$, Al-Obodi [1] introduced the following differential operator:

$$
\begin{align*}
& D_{\delta}^{0} f(z)=f(z) \\
& D_{\delta}^{1} f(z)=(1-\delta) f(z)+\delta z f^{\prime}(z)=D_{\delta} f(z)  \tag{3}\\
& \vdots \\
& D_{\delta}^{n} f(z)=(1-\delta) D_{\delta}^{n-1} f(z)+\delta z\left(D_{\delta}^{n-1} f(z)\right)^{\prime}=D\left(D_{\delta}^{n} f(z)\right) \quad\left(z \in U, n \in \mathbb{N}_{0}=\mathbb{N} \cup\{0\}\right) .
\end{align*}
$$

If $f$ is given by ( 1 ), we see that

$$
D_{\delta}^{n} f(z)=z+\sum_{k=2}^{\infty}[1+(k-1) \delta]^{n} a_{k} z^{k}
$$

with $D_{\delta}^{n} f(0)=0$. It is worthy mentioning that when $\delta=1$ in (3), we have the differential operator of Salagean [9].

Let $m$ be a positive integer. A domain $E$ is said to be $m$-fold symmetric if a rotation of $E$ about the origin through an angle $2 \pi / m$ carries $E$ on itself. It follows that, a function $f$ analytic in $U$ is said to be $m$-fold symmetric if

$$
f\left(e^{2 \pi i / m} z\right)=e^{2 \pi i / m} f(z) .
$$

A function is said to be $m$-fold symmetric if it has the following normalized form:

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(z)=z+\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_{m k+1} z^{m k+1} \quad(z \in U, m \in \mathbb{N}) . \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $S_{m}$ the class of $m$-fold symmetric univalent functions in $U$, which are normalized by the series expansion (4). In fact, the functions in the class $S$ are one-fold symmetric. Analogous to the concept of $m$-fold symmetric univalent functions, we here introduced the concept of $m$-fold symmetric bi-univalent functions. Each function $f \in \Sigma$ generates an $m$-fold symmetric bi-univalent function for each integer $m \in \mathbb{N}$. The normalized form of $f$ is given as in (4) and the series expansion for $f^{-1}$, which has been recently proven by Srivastava et al. [9], is given as follows:

$$
\begin{aligned}
F(w)=f^{-1}(w)= & \left.w-a_{m+1} w^{m+1}+\left[(m+1) a_{m+1}^{2}-a_{2 m+1}\right)\right] w^{2 m+1} \\
& -\left[\frac{1}{2}(m+1)(3 m+2) a_{m+1}^{3}-(3 m+2) a_{m+1} a_{2 m+1}+a_{3 m+1}\right] w^{3 m+1}+\cdots .
\end{aligned}
$$

We denote by $\Sigma_{m}$ the class of $m$-fold symmetric bi-univalent functions in $U$. For $m=1$, the formula (4) coincides with the formula (2) of the class $\Sigma$. Some examples of $m$-fold symmetric bi-univalent functions are given as follows:

$$
\left(\frac{z^{m}}{1-z^{m}}\right)^{\frac{1}{m}}, \quad\left[-\log \left(1-z^{m}\right)\right]^{\frac{1}{m}}, \quad\left[\frac{1}{2} \log \left(\frac{1+z^{m}}{1-z^{m}}\right)^{\frac{1}{m}}\right]
$$

The coefficient problem for $m$-fold symmetric analytic bi-univalent functions is one of the favourite subjects of Geometric Function Theory in these days, (see, e.g., [3], [5], [11], [12]).

Here, the aim of this study is to determine upper coefficients bounds $\left|a_{m+1}\right|$ and $\left|a_{2 m+1}\right|$ belonging to the newly defined subclass.
Firstly, in order to derive our main results, we require the following lemma.
Lemma 1. (See [8]) If a function $p \in P$ is given by

$$
p(z)=1+c_{1} z+c_{2} z^{2}+\cdots \quad(z \in U),
$$

then $\left|c_{i}\right|$ for each $i \in \mathbb{N}$, where the Caratheodory class $P$ is the family of all functions $p$ analytic in $U$ for which $\Re(p(z))>0$ and $p(0)=1$.

## 2 Coefficient bounds for the functions class $\Sigma_{m}^{t, n, \delta}(\tau, \alpha, \lambda)$

Definition 1. A function $f$ given by (4) is said to be in the class

$$
\Sigma_{m}^{t, n, \delta}(\tau, \alpha, \lambda) \quad\left(\tau \in \mathbb{C} \backslash\{0\}, 0<\alpha \leq 1, \lambda>0, t, n \in \mathbb{N}_{0}, t>n, \delta \geq 1, z, w \in U\right)
$$

if the following conditions are satisfied:

$$
\begin{equation*}
f \in \Sigma_{m}, \quad\left|\arg \left(1+\frac{1}{\tau}\left[(1-\alpha) \frac{D_{\delta}^{n}(f * g)(z)}{D_{\delta}^{t}(f * h)(z)}+\alpha \frac{\left(D_{\delta}^{n}(f * g)(z)\right)^{\prime}}{\left(D_{\delta}^{t}(f * h)(z)\right)^{\prime}}-1\right]\right)\right|<\frac{\alpha \pi}{2} \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\arg \left(1+\frac{1}{\tau}\left[(1-\alpha) \frac{D_{\delta}^{n}(F * g)(w)}{D_{\delta}^{t}(F * h)(w)}+\alpha \frac{\left(D_{\delta}^{n}(F * g)(w)\right)^{\prime}}{\left(D_{\delta}^{t}(F * h)(w)\right)^{\prime}}-1\right]\right)\right|<\frac{\alpha \pi}{2}, \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $g(z)=z+\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} g_{m k+1} z^{m k+1}, h(z)=z+\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} h_{m k+1} z^{m k+1}$ and the function $F$ is extension of $f^{-1}$ to $U$.
We start by finding the estimates on the coefficients $\left|a_{m+1}\right|$ and $\left|a_{2 m+1}\right|$ for the functions in the $\Sigma_{m}^{t, n, \delta}(\tau, \alpha, \lambda)$.

Theorem 1. Let the function $f$ given by (4) be in the class $\Sigma_{m}^{t, n, \delta}(\tau, \alpha, \lambda)$. Then

$$
\left|a_{m+1}\right| \leq \frac{2|\tau| \lambda}{\sqrt{|A|}}
$$

and

$$
\left|a_{2 m+1}\right| \leq \frac{2|\tau| \lambda}{(1+2 m \alpha)\left|(1+2 m \delta)^{n} g_{2 m+1}-(1+2 m \delta)^{t} h_{2 m+1}\right|}+\frac{2(m+1) \tau^{2} \lambda^{2}}{|A|}
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
& A=\tau \lambda(1+m)(1+2 m \alpha)\left[(1+2 m \delta)^{n} g_{2 m+1}-(1+2 m \delta)^{t} h_{2 m+1}\right] \\
& -2 \tau \lambda\left(1+2 m \alpha+m^{2} \alpha\right)\left[(1+m \delta)^{t+n} h_{m+1} g_{m+1}-(1+m \delta)^{2 t} h_{m+1}^{2}\right]-(\lambda-1)(1+m \alpha)^{2}\left[(1+m \delta)^{n} g_{m+1}-(1+m \delta)^{t} h_{m+1}\right]^{2} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Proof: Suppose that $\Sigma_{m}^{t, n, \delta}(\tau, \alpha, \lambda)$. From the conditions (5) and (6), we can write

$$
\begin{align*}
& 1+\frac{1}{\tau}\left[(1-\alpha) \frac{D_{\delta}^{n}(f * g)(z)}{D_{\delta}^{t}(f * h)(z)}+\alpha \frac{\left(D_{\delta}^{n}(f * g)(z)\right)^{\prime}}{\left(D_{\delta}^{t}(f * h)(z)\right)^{\prime}}-1\right]=[p(z)]^{\lambda}  \tag{7}\\
& 1+\frac{1}{\tau}\left[(1-\alpha) \frac{D_{\delta}^{n}(F * g)(w)}{D_{\delta}^{t}(F * h)(w)}+\alpha \frac{\left(D_{\delta}^{n}(F * g)(w)\right)^{\prime}}{\left(D_{\delta}^{t}(F * h)(w)\right)^{\prime}}-1\right]=[q(w)]^{\lambda}, \tag{8}
\end{align*}
$$

where $F=f^{-1}, p, q$ in $P$ and have the following forms

$$
\begin{gathered}
p(z)=1+p_{m} z^{m}+p_{2 m} z^{2 m}+\cdots \\
q(w)=1+q_{m} w^{m}+q_{2 m} w^{2 m}+\cdots
\end{gathered}
$$

Clearly, we deduce that

$$
\begin{gathered}
{[p(z)]^{\lambda}=1+\lambda p_{m} z^{m}+\left(\lambda p_{2 m}+\frac{\lambda(\lambda-1)}{2} p_{m}^{2}\right) z^{2 m}+\cdots} \\
{[q(w)]^{\lambda}=1+\lambda q_{m} w^{m}+\left(\lambda q_{2 m}+\frac{\lambda(\lambda-1)}{2} q_{m}^{2}\right) w^{2 m}+\cdots}
\end{gathered}
$$

Additionaly,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 1+\frac{1}{\tau}\left[(1-\alpha) \frac{D_{\delta}^{n}(f * g)(z)}{D_{\delta}^{t}(f * h)(z)}+\alpha \frac{\left(D_{\delta}^{n}(f * g)(z)\right)^{\prime}}{\left(D_{\delta}^{t}(f * h)(z)\right)^{\prime}}-1\right]=1+\frac{(1+m \alpha)}{\tau}\left[(1+m \delta)^{n} g_{m+1}-(1+m \delta)^{t} h_{m+1}\right] a_{m+1} z^{m}+ \\
& \frac{\left\{(1+2 m \alpha)\left[(1+2 m \delta)^{n} g_{2 m+1}-(1+2 m \delta)^{t} h_{2 m+1}\right] a_{2 m+1}-\left(1+2 m \alpha+m^{2} \alpha\right)\left[(1+m \delta)^{t+n} h_{m+1} g_{m+1}-(1+m \delta)^{2 t} h_{m+1}^{2}\right] a_{m+1}^{2}\right\}}{\tau} z^{2 m}+\cdots
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
1+\frac{1}{\tau}\left[(1-\alpha) \frac{D_{\delta}^{n}(F * g)(w)}{D_{\delta}^{t}(F * h)(w)}+\alpha \frac{\left(D_{\delta}^{n}(F * g)(w)\right)^{\prime}}{\left(D_{\delta}^{t}(F * h)(w)\right)^{\prime}}-1\right]=1-\frac{(1+m \alpha)}{\tau}\left[(1+m \delta)^{n} g_{m+1}-(1+m \delta)^{t} h_{m+1}\right] a_{m+1} w^{m}+
$$

$$
\frac{\left\{(1+2 m \alpha)\left[(1+2 m \delta)^{n} g_{2 m+1}-(1+2 m \delta)^{t} h_{2 m+1}\right]\left[(1+m) a_{m+1}^{2}-a_{2 m+1}\right]-\left(1+2 m \alpha+m^{2} \alpha\right)\left[(1+m \delta)^{t+n} h_{m+1} g_{m+1}-(1+m \delta)^{2 t} h_{m+1}^{2}\right] a_{m+1}^{2}\right\}}{\tau} w^{2 m}
$$

Now, equating the coefficients in (7) and (8), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
(1+m \alpha)\left[(1+m \delta)^{n} g_{m+1}-(1+m \delta)^{t} h_{m+1}\right]=\tau \lambda p_{m} \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& (1+2 m \alpha)\left[(1+2 m \delta)^{n} g_{2 m+1}-(1+2 m \delta)^{t} h_{2 m+1}\right] a_{2 m+1} \\
& -\left(1+2 m \alpha+m^{2} \alpha\right)\left[(1+m \delta)^{t+n} h_{m+1} g_{m+1}-(1+m \delta)^{2 t} h_{m+1}^{2}\right] a_{m+1}^{2}=\tau\left(\lambda p_{2 m}+\frac{\lambda(\lambda-1)}{2} p_{m}^{2}\right),  \tag{10}\\
& \quad m(1-\lambda)\left[2 a_{2 m+1}-(\lambda m+1) a_{m+1}^{2}\right]=\tau\left(\lambda p_{2 m}+\frac{\lambda(\lambda-1)}{2} p_{m}^{2}\right)
\end{align*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
-(1+m \alpha)\left[(1+m \delta)^{n} g_{m+1}-(1+m \delta)^{t} h_{m+1}\right]=\tau \lambda q_{m} \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& (1+2 m \alpha)\left[(1+2 m \delta)^{n} g_{2 m+1}-(1+2 m \delta)^{t} h_{2 m+1}\right]\left[(1+m) a_{m+1}^{2}-a_{2 m+1}\right] \\
& -\left(1+2 m \alpha+m^{2} \alpha\right)\left[(1+m \delta)^{t+n} h_{m+1} g_{m+1}-(1+m \delta)^{2 t} h_{m+1}^{2}\right] a_{m+1}^{2}=\tau\left(\lambda q_{2 m}+\frac{\lambda(\lambda-1)}{2} q_{m}^{2}\right) \tag{12}
\end{align*}
$$

From (9) and (11), we obtain

$$
\begin{gather*}
p_{m}=-q_{m}  \tag{13}\\
2(1+m \alpha)^{2}\left[(1+m \delta)^{n} g_{m+1}-(1+m \delta)^{t} h_{m+1}\right] a_{m+1}^{2}=\tau^{2} \lambda^{2}\left(p_{m}^{2}+q_{m}^{2}\right) \tag{14}
\end{gather*}
$$

Next, by adding Eqs. (10) and (12), we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left\{(1+m)(1+2 m \alpha)\left[(1+2 m \delta)^{n} g_{2 m+1}-(1+2 m \delta)^{t} h_{2 m+1}\right]\right. \\
& \left.-2\left(1+2 m \alpha+m^{2} \alpha\right)\left[(1+m \delta)^{t+n} h_{m+1} g_{m+1}-(1+m \delta)^{2 t} h_{m+1}^{2}\right]\right\} a_{m+1}^{2}=\tau\left(\lambda\left(p_{2 m}+q_{2 m}\right)+\frac{\lambda(\lambda-1)}{2}\left(p_{m}^{2}+q_{m}^{2}\right)\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore, from (14), we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
a_{m+1}^{2}=\frac{\tau^{2} \lambda^{2}\left(p_{2 m}+q_{2 m}\right)}{A} \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
& A=\tau \lambda(1+m)(1+2 m \alpha)\left[(1+2 m \delta)^{n} g_{2 m+1}-(1+2 m \delta)^{t} h_{2 m+1}\right] \\
& -2 \tau \lambda\left(1+2 m \alpha+m^{2} \alpha\right)\left[(1+m \delta)^{t+n} h_{m+1} g_{m+1}-(1+m \delta)^{2 t} h_{m+1}^{2}\right]-(\lambda-1)(1+m \alpha)^{2}\left[(1+m \delta)^{n} g_{m+1}-(1+m \delta)^{t} h_{m+1}\right]^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

Now taking the absolute value of (15) and appying Lemma 1 for the coefficients $p_{2 m}$ and $q_{2 m}$, we have the following inequality

$$
\left|a_{m+1}\right| \leq \frac{2|\tau| \lambda}{\sqrt{|A|}}
$$

Next, so as to obtain solution of the coefficient bound on $\left|a_{2 m+1}\right|$, we subtract (12) from (10). We thus have

$$
\begin{equation*}
(1+2 m \alpha)\left[(1+2 m \delta)^{n} g_{2 m+1}-(1+2 m \delta)^{t} h_{2 m+1}\right]\left[2 a_{2 m+1}-(1+m) a_{m+1}^{2}\right]=\tau\left(\lambda\left(p_{2 m}-q_{2 m}\right)+\frac{\lambda(\lambda-1)}{2}\left(p_{m}^{2}-q_{m}^{2}\right)\right) \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

Also using (15) in (16) we obtain that

$$
\begin{equation*}
a_{2 m+1}=\frac{\tau \lambda\left(p_{2 m}-q_{2 m}\right)}{2(1+2 m \alpha)\left[(1+2 m \delta)^{n} g_{2 m+1}-(1+2 m \delta)^{t} h_{2 m+1}\right]}+\frac{(m+1) \tau^{2} \lambda^{2}\left(p_{2 m}+q_{2 m}\right)}{2 A} \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
$$

Taking the absolute value of (17) and applying Lemma 1.1 again for coefficients $p_{2 m}, p_{m}$ and $q_{2 m}, q_{m}$ we get the desired result. This completes the proof of Theorem 1.

## 3 Concluding remark

Various choices of the functions $h, g$ as mentioned above and by specializing on the parameters $m, \tau, t, n, \delta$ we state some interesting results analogous to Theorem 1. The details involved may be left as an exercise for the interested reader.
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